#### WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP

156 West 56th Street

New York, New York 10019

Tel: (212) 237-1000 Fax: (212) 262-1215

Special Counsel for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and the Estate of Bernard L. Madoff

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION.

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and Bernard L. Madoff,

Plaintiff,

v.

Zephyros Limited,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

**SIPA** Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

Adv. Pro. No. 12-01278 (SMB)

SUPPLEMENTAL
MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN
OPPOSITION TO ZEPHYROS
LIMITED'S MOTION TO DISMISS
BASED ON
EXTRATERRITORIALITY AND
IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF THE
TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO AMEND
COMPLAINTS

Irving H. Picard (the "Trustee") respectfully submits this memorandum as a supplement to his Main Brief being filed simultaneously herewith in opposition to the motion to dismiss based on extraterritoriality made by defendant Zephyros Limited ("Zephyros") and in further support of the motion for leave to amend his Complaint to add the Proffered Allegations.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Zephyros was a hedge fund managed and operated out of the United States. (Proffered Allegations at ¶ 4.) Zephyros was a shareholder in Rye Select Broad Market Portfolio Ltd. (f/k/a American Masters Broad Market Fund II Limited) ("Rye Portfolio Limited"), Kingate Global Fund Ltd. ("Kingate Global") and Fairfield Sentry Limited ("Fairfield Sentry", and together with Rye Portfolio Limited and Kingate Global, the "BLMIS Feeder Funds"), each of which invested substantially all of their assets with BLMIS. (Trustee's Complaint at ¶ 2, id. at Exs. D, I.) Zephyros received approximately \$115 million in subsequent transfers of BLMIS customer property, all of which were from Rye Portfolio Limited and Kingate Global (the "Transfers"). (Id.) The Trustee's Proffered Allegations demonstrate that the Trustee's action to recover the Transfers does not require an extraterritorial application of SIPA or Bankruptcy Code § 550.

# THE TRANSFERS AND COMPONENT EVENTS OF ZEPHYROS'S BLMIS FEEDER FUND TRANSACTIONS WERE PREDOMINANTLY DOMESTIC.

Citing to *Maxwell I*, the District Court directed that "the location of the transfers as well as the component events of those transactions" must be analyzed to determine whether a subsequent transfer claim involves a domestic or extraterritorial application of the Code and SIPA.<sup>2</sup> Under the District Court's ruling, the Trustee need only put forth "specific facts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Extraterritoriality Decision, 513 B.R. at 227 (citing *Maxwell I*, 186 B.R. at 816).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In re Maxwell Commc'n Corp., 186 B.R. 807, 816-17 (S.D.N.Y. 1995).

suggesting a domestic transfer" to avoid dismissal.<sup>3</sup> This is a fact-based inquiry in which all reasonable inferences should be drawn in the Trustee's favor.<sup>4</sup>

Zephyros argues that simply because it and the BLMIS Feeder Funds in which it invested were formally organized under the laws of foreign countries, the subsequent transfers it received are "purely foreign" and the Trustee's recovery action must be dismissed. This superficial argument disregards the *Maxwell I* analysis directed by the District Court and is inconsistent with Second Circuit extraterritoriality case law that similarly examines a transaction's various connections with the United States.<sup>5</sup> Zephyros's singular focus on place of registration also ignores that, although registered in the Cayman Islands, at all relevant times, Zephyros had no employees or offices there, was prohibited from soliciting investors there, and was managed and operated out of the United States.<sup>6</sup> (Proffered Allegations at ¶¶ 4-6.)

The fact that Zephyros was managed and operated by a U.S. investment manager—Credit Suisse Index Co. Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "U.S. Fund Manager")—demonstrates the domestic nature of Zephyros's BLMIS Feeder Fund investment transactions. (*Id.* at ¶ 6.) Zephyros had no business operations other than the investments it made, and the U.S. Fund Manager made those investments. (*Id.* at ¶ 4.) Zephyros also had a U.S. fund administrator/custodian—Pennsylvania-based SEI Investments Company—whose U.S. bank

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Extraterritoriality Decision, 513 B.R. at 232 n.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Anwar v. Fairfield Greenwich, Ltd., 728 F. Supp. 2d 372, 402-03 (S.D.N.Y. 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, e.g., Parkcentral Global Hub Ltd. v. Porsche Auto. Holdings SE, 763 F.3d 198, 217 (2d Cir. 2014); European Cmty. v. RJR Nabisco, Inc., 764 F.3d 129, 141 (2d Cir. 2014); and other cases cited in the Trustee's Main Brief.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See SEC v. Gruss, 2012 WL 3306166 at \*3 (S.D.N.Y. Aug 13, 2012) (finding that issues of fact existed regarding whether an offshore fund was "foreign" for purposes of extraterritoriality where complaint alleged that operational and investment decisions for the offshore fund were made in New York, "such that for all intents and purposes, the [offshore fund] was based in New York").

account Zephyros used in connection with its BLMIS Feeder Fund investments. (Id. at ¶ 9.)

Furthermore, Zephyros's investments with the BLMIS Feeder Funds arose out of a New York-based relationship between the U.S. Fund Manager and New York-based Tremont, which created, managed and operated Rye Portfolio Limited and managed Kingate Global at relevant times. (*Id.* at ¶ 7.) These entities jointly operated a hedge fund index that tracked various funds, including each of the BLMIS Feeder Funds in which Zephyros invested. (*Id.* at ¶ 8.)

Moreover, the entire purpose of Zephyros's investments in the two BLMIS Feeder Funds was to invest in U.S. securities markets through New York-based investment adviser BLMIS, and to earn returns on those U.S.-based investments. (*Id.* at ¶ 10.) Zephyros knew that its investments in the BLMIS Feeder Funds were controlled entirely by Bernard Madoff and BLMIS in New York, as evidenced for example by an e-mail with Tremont referring to the so-called "Bernie Maddof [sic] fund". (*Id.* at ¶ 12.)

Zephyros's knowledge and intent is also evidenced by its investment transaction documents with all three BLMIS Feeder Funds. Zephyros entered into subscription agreements with the BLMIS Feeder Funds at least 22 times and in each case affirmed that it knew (i) the BLMIS Feeder Funds invested almost exclusively with a New York-based, SEC-registered investment adviser (i.e. BLMIS) that invested in the U.S. securities market and (ii) Zephyros's money would be transferred to that same investment adviser in New York. (*Id.* at ¶ 13-15.) As Judge Lifland concluded in a similar matter, "[t]he movement of money to and from BLMIS in the United States . . . was not fortuitous or incidental; instead, it was 'the ultimate objective' and the 'raison d'etre' of the Agreement between" the investor and the feeder fund.<sup>7</sup>

The express provisions of its agreement with Fairfield Sentry further demonstrate the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Picard v. Bureau of Labor Ins. (In re BLMIS), 480 B.R. 501, 513 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2012).

domestic nature of Zephyros's investment transactions with Fairfield Sentry. Zephyros agreed in its subscription agreement with Fairfield Sentry that New York law would govern its investments, and it consented to U.S. jurisdiction. (Proffered Allegations at ¶¶ 16-17.)

Zephyros also purposefully and repeatedly used a U.S. bank account and the U.S. banking system to send subscriptions into and receive redemption payments from the BLMIS Feeder Funds, which redemptions constitute the Transfers at issue. (Proffered Allegations at ¶ 18.) Zephyros remitted subscriptions at least 22 times and received redemptions at least 27 times, and it designated and used a U.S. bank account of SEI Investments Company, its U.S. administrator/custodian, at Wachovia National Bank for this purpose. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 19-21.) Zephyros also remitted its subscriptions into U.S. bank or correspondent accounts as directed by the BLMIS Feeder Funds, which moneys were ultimately delivered to BLMIS in New York. (*Id.* at ¶ 22.) In addition, Zephyros received a majority of its Rye Portfolio Limited redemptions from that fund's bank account at Bank of New York in New York. (*Id.* at ¶ 23.)

Further evidencing the domestic nature of Zephyros's transactions is that Zephyros's due diligence on the BLMIS Feeder Funds was conducted in New York. The U.S. Fund Manager, which is a part of the Credit Suisse group of companies with a headquarters in New York, relied heavily on New York-based personnel at Credit Suisse's offices to communicate with and obtain information from the BLMIS Feeder Funds. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 24-27.) Zephyros also had ready access to Tremont executives in New York. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 25-26.)

Beyond all of the above domestic components, Zephyros's argument that its transactions are purely foreign is wrong because it ignores the reality of Rye Portfolio Limited and Kingate Global from which it received the Transfers. Although technically registered in the Cayman Islands, Rye Portfolio Limited had no real presence there—just a P.O. Box. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 67-68.) At

all relevant times, Rye Portfolio Limited's principal place of business was at the Rye, New York offices of Delaware corporation Tremont Group Holdings, Inc., which, together with one or more of its affiliates, formed, managed and operated Rye Portfolio Limited along with multiple other BLMIS feeder funds. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 53-57, 69-78.)

Kingate Global similarly had no physical offices, no employees, and transacted no meaningful business in the BVI where it was technically registered. (*Id.* at ¶ 85.) Instead, Kingate Global operated through investment managers, consultants, and other service providers with substantial connections to the United States. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 86-87, 95-104.) BLMIS in New York was the investment manager, executing broker, and custodian for Kingate Global's assets, and thus control rested entirely with BLMIS in New York. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 92-94.)

Finally, Zephyros previously argued in this case that Bankruptcy Code section 546(e)'s safe harbor should shield its Transfers from suit precisely because of their connection to the U.S. securities markets into which BLMIS purported to invest. This argument is inconsistent with Zephyros's current assertion that its transactions lack sufficient domestic connections for purposes of extraterritoriality. Zephyros should not be allowed to have it both ways, taking advantage of U.S. law when it suits it and running from it when it does not.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons and those stated in the Trustee's Main Brief, Zephyros's motion to dismiss should be denied, and the Trustee's motion for leave to amend his Complaint to add the Proffered Allegations should be granted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See SEC v. Gruss, supra, n.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Brief of Amici Curiae Financial Institution Defendants in Support of Appellees and Affirmance of the District Court, *Picard v. Ida Fishman Revocable Trusts (In re Bernard L. Madoff. Inv. Sec. LLC)*, No. 12-2557 (bk) (2d Cir. Jan. 29, 2014), ECF No. 346.

Dated: New York, New York June 26, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Howard L. Simon

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP

156 West 56<sup>th</sup> Street New York, New York 10019 Tel: (212) 237-1000

Howard L. Simon Kim M. Longo Antonio J. Casas Brian W. Kreutter

Special Counsel to Irving H. Picard, Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and Bernard L. Madoff